

## Shoot-at-sight order for a Tigress

## Why in news?

\n\n

The Supreme Court permitted the Maharashtra forest department to shoot a "man-eating" tigress recently.

\n\n

## What are the concerns raised against?

\n\n

\n

- Officials of the forest department have declared T1 a "man-eater" as the animal, along with her cubs, ate 60% of a human corpse.  $\n$
- It was accused of killing more than a dozen people over the last two years.  $\slash n$
- But it was argued that all the kills have taken place within designated forest areas or on their periphery.  $\gamma_n$
- The Pantharkawada forest is home to spillover tigers from the nearby Tipeshwar Wildlife Sanctuary.  $\n$
- With rampant Human encroachment, contiguity of the habitat was disrupted.  $\space{1mm}\space{1mm$
- The forest has scant food for wild herbivores, hence it also multiplies the chances of man-animal conflict.  $\gamman$
- There is heavy pressure of illegal grazing, with livestock consuming a huge proportion of the food and water meant for wildlife.  $\n$
- Locals take cattle and goats "on contract" from rich owners to graze them illegally in the forest.

\n

\n\n

What should have been done?

\n\n

\n

- The National Tiger Conservation Authority protocols say that the human beings killed due to chance encounters may also be eaten by the animal.  $\n$ 

\n\n

∖n

• However, this is not sufficient for classifying a tiger as a man-eater, especially for an encumbered tigress supporting two cubs, in a low prey base area.

\n

• Hence, the Forest Department appears to be apathetic towards the basic tenets of habitat management.

\n

• Citing human and political pressure, wildlife coming into conflict situations is regularly eliminated.

∖n

• The court has observed that the directions for Standard Operating Procedure under Section 38(O) of Wildlife Protection Act are merely suggestive and not mandatory.

\n

- It was also alleged that the forest department has already roped in a "sharp shooter" to kill the tigress, instead of first trying to tranquillise her.  $\n$
- This case reveals that a distinction has to be made between a tigress killing a human and a habitual man-eater.  $\n$
- Hence it is necessary to keep wild habitats safe for wildlife to ensure their long-term survival.

\n

\n\n

## Source: The Hindu

