

## Significance of Art 35A and Art 370

### What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

- The Supreme Court is hearing petitions challenging the validity of Art 35A.
- The provisions need an understanding in the context of the solemn promises at the heart of the Indian federation.

\n

\n\n

### What is Art 35A?

\n\n

\n

- Art 35A was inserted as part of the amendments made through a 1954 presidential order, imposed under Article 370.
- It empowers J&K to define a class of persons as constituting “permanent residents” of the State.
- Also, it allows the government to confer on these persons, special rights and privileges.
- These relate to matters of

\n

\n\n

\n

- i. public employment
- ii. acquisition of immovable property in the State
- iii. settlement in different parts of the State
- iv. access to scholarships
- v. other such aids that the State government might provide

\n

\n

\n\n

\n

- It exempts such legislation from being annulled on the ground that they infringe on any of the fundamental rights.

\n

\n\n

### **What is the case?**

\n\n

\n

- The petition considers this immunity granted to J&K's laws as discriminatory.
- It also claims that Art 35A could not have been introduced outside the ordinary amending procedure prescribed under Article 368.
- It thus calls for declaring Art 35A unconstitutional.
- A three-judge Bench of the court intends to consider if Article 35A infringes the Constitution's basic structure.
- Based on this, it would decide if the case has to be referred to a larger bench for further examination.

\n

\n\n

### **How are Art 35A and Art 370 justified?**

\n\n

\n

- The law on the subject is well settled as previous Benches have already shown approval for the 1954 presidential order.
- Even otherwise, Art 35A is not amenable to a conventional basic structure challenge.
- This is because India's Constitution establishes a form of asymmetric federalism.
- Clearly, some States enjoy greater autonomy over governance than others.
- This asymmetry is typified by Article 370.

- \n
- In its original form, Article 370 accorded to J&K a set of special privileges.  
\n
  - This includes an exemption from constitutional provisions governing other States.  
\n
  - Also, under J&K's Instrument of Accession, it restricted Parliament's powers to legislate over the State to three core subjects.  
\n
  - These are defence, foreign affairs and communications.  
\n
  - Parliament could legislate on other areas only through an express presidential order.  
\n
  - This should be made with the prior concurrence of the State government.  
\n
  - For subjects beyond the Instrument of Accession, the further sanction of the State's Constituent Assembly was also mandated.  
\n
  - Finally, the Art 370 also granted the President the power to make orders declaring the provision inoperative.  
\n
  - But this authority could be exercised only on the prior recommendation of the State's Constituent Assembly.  
\n
  - Even changes made to the Constitution under Article 368 will not mechanically apply to J&K.  
\n
  - For such amendments to apply to the State, specific orders must be made under Article 370.  
\n
  - This is only after securing the J&K government's prior assent.  
\n
  - Moreover, such amendments will also need to be ratified by the State's Constituent Assembly.  
\n
  - So evidently, Art 370 represents the only way of taking the Indian Constitution into J&K.  
\n
  - Also, Article 370 is as much a part of the Constitution as Article 368, thereby to justify the validity of Art 35A.  
\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: The Hindu**

\n

