

## Significance of Regional Connectivity in Asia

### What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

- India recently declined to endorse China's Belt and Road Initiative (BRI).
- But Regional connectivity projects between India and China will benefit south Asian region.

\n

\n\n

### What are regional connectivity developments in Asia?

\n\n

\n

- **Indo-Myanmar- Thailand Trilateral Highway** - India, Thailand and Myanmar are working on about 1,400km long highway that would link India with Southeast Asia by land.

\n

- This highway will give a boost to trade, business, health, education and tourism ties among the three countries.

\n

- **China Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC)** - It is a collection of infrastructure projects that are currently under construction throughout Pakistan.

\n

- **Belt and Road Initiative (BRI)** - It is a development strategy proposed by China, focuses on connectivity and cooperation between Eurasian countries.

\n

- **Bangladesh-Bhutan-India-Nepal (BBIN)** - It is a motor vehicles agreement which has seen a positive development recently.

\n

- It proved the ambition of establishing physical connectivity among the smaller states of South Asia through India can eventually be realised.

\n

\n\n

## **What is the significance of regional connectivity in Asia?**

\n\n

- \n
- Poor connectivity is the major reason why intra-regional trade is among the lowest in South Asia.
- \n
- South Asia, with its 1.8 billion population, is only capable of conducting around 5% intraregional trade as connectivity remains a constant barrier.
- \n
- Non-tariff barriers (NTBs) continue to plague the region and addressing infrastructure deficits can do away with 80% of the NTBs.
- \n
- Thus Connectivity can significantly improve people-to-people interaction leading to better understanding, greater tolerance and closer diplomatic relations in the region.

\n

\n\n

## **What are the concerns with regional connectivity in Asia?**

\n\n

- \n
- States in South and Southeast Asia are involved in multiple regional initiatives led by India and China but are unable to get the benefit due to their slow progress.
- \n
- The South Asian Association for Regional Cooperation remains moribund with little hope of it becoming functional in the near future.
- \n
- The Bay of Bengal too remains among the least integrated regions in spite of having immense potential of enhancing trade through utilisation of its ports and waterways.
- \n
- The India-led Bay of Bengal Initiative for Multi-Sectoral Technical and Economic Cooperation (BIMSTEC) involving Bangladesh, Bhutan, India, Myanmar, Nepal, Sri Lanka, and Thailand, has made little progress.
- \n
- Thus manysmaller states are losing out and having to pay the price of missed economic opportunities due to the actions of the two Asian giants.

\n

\n\n

## What is measures needs to be taken to address such issues?

\n\n

\n

- If the BRI, BIMSTEC and BBIN were developed through coordination and consultation led by the two Asian giants, the projects under the schemes could have been implemented more efficiently.

\n

- With the minimum required cooperation in pursuing regional initiatives, India and China can significantly enhance trade, investment and connectivity in the region.

\n

- India-China has an opportunity to forge a pragmatic understanding on the efficacy of regional initiatives through greater communication, enhanced cooperation and better coordination.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: The Hindu**

\n

