

## Significance of State Finance Commissions

### What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

- The State Finance Commission (SFC) is a unique institution created by the 73rd and 74th Constitutional Amendments (CAs).

\n

- But there is an undermined recognition of the potential of State Finance Commissions.

\n

\n\n

### What was the mandate?

\n\n

\n

- The 73rd and 74th CAs rationalised and systematised State/sub-State-level fiscal relations in India.

\n

- It was primarily to rectify growing horizontal imbalances in essential public services delivery.

\n

- Article 243I of the Constitution mandated the State Governor to constitute a Finance Commission.

\n

- This was to be done within one year of the CAs (before April 24, 1994) and thereafter every five years.

\n

\n\n

### What are the concerns?

\n\n

\n

- **Reports** - As per the mandate, the fifth generation SFCs ought to have submitted reports by now.

\n

- But till date, only Assam, HP, TN and Kerala have submitted their fifth SFC reports.  
\n
- Many States are yet to cross the third SFC stage.  
\n
- The large majority has violated the mandate of the Constitution with impunity.  
\n
- **Role** - The Union Finance Commissions (UFC) has been widely acknowledged as a professional and quasi-judicial body.  
\n
- The UFC exhibits seriousness, regularity, acceptance of recommendations and their implementation.  
\n
- But these are evidently absent when it comes to State Finance Commissions (SFCs).  
\n
- Clearly, honouring the Constitution has become a matter of convenience.  
\n
- **Personnel** - The SFCs' compositions are largely of serving and/or retired bureaucrats rather than academics.  
\n
- The State governments bear a large share of the blame for this.  
\n
- Overall, there has been an inadequate appreciation of the significance of SFC as an institution.  
\n

\n\n

- This is the case with the Union, States as well as the professional community.  
\n

\n\n

### **Why are SFCs crucial?**

\n\n

- **Status** - The SFC is undoubtedly modelled on the UFC created under Article 280.  
\n
- The UFC is tasked with rectifying vertical and horizontal imbalances at the Union-State level.  
\n

- The SFC has to perform the same with reference to State/sub-State-level institutions.  
\n
- The Constitution treats a local government on a par with a State government.  
\n
- This is especially the case when it comes to sharing of financial resources.  
\n
- **Role** - SFCs have to promote minimum essential services in rural and urban areas.  
\n
- Hence, SFC is the institutional agency to implement the golden rule of cooperative federalism.  
\n
- Accordingly, every citizen should be assured minimum public goods irrespective of her choice of residence.  
\n
- **Federalism** - UFC is mandated to suggest measures to augment the resources of panchayats and municipalities.  
\n
- This is essentially on the basis of the recommendations made by the SFCs.  
\n
- This affirms the organic link between local governments and SFCs to fiscal federalism.  
\n
- As UFC reduces inter-State disparities, the SFCs reduce intra-State disparities through balanced distribution criteria.  
\n
- It is only with both the UFC and the SFCs that Indian federation becomes sustainable and inclusive.  
\n

\n\n

### **What are the limitations for SFCs?**

\n\n

- **Task** - The task of SFCs to correct horizontal imbalances is extremely burdensome than the UFC.  
\n
- This is because SFCs have to consider nearly 2.5 lakh local governments.  
\n
- **Data** - The financial reporting system of the Union and States is well laid down.  
\n

- On the other hand, local governments with no proper budgetary system are in deep disarray.  
\n
- So SFCs face a crucial problem of reliable data.  
\n
- **Support** - Several sufficient conditions remain unfulfilled in the case of SFCs.  
\n
- SFCs have not been provided with the necessary environment to play their rightful role in Indian fiscal federalism.  
\n
- **Perception** - Unlike UFCs, the SFCs face attitudinal limitation from within.  
\n
- SFCs and local governments are seen to be of inferior constitutional status than the UFC.  
\n
- This is a prevailing notion among several politicians, policy makers and even experts.  
\n

\n\n

### **What is the way forward?**

\n\n

- The federalist development state of India can grow only through a process of evolutionary policy making.  
\n
- The States are tasked with empowering local governments to discharge constitutional obligations.  
\n
- **SFCs** - Unlike the UFC, no SFC can easily ignore the following:  
\n

\n\n

- i. Articles 243G and 243W - planning “for economic development and social justice”  
\n
- ii. Article 243ZD - mandates that every State constitute a district planning committee for spatial planning and environmental conservation at the sub-State level  
\n

\n\n

\n

- **UFC** - UFCs have failed to play a central role in ensuring decentralised governance.

\n

- No UFC has done its homework in reading and analysing SFC reports.

\n

- The UFC has to present a consolidated account of the reality at the sub-State level.

\n

- It should also highlight which report went wrong, where and how.

\n

- These are essential for the UFC to legitimately guide States and contribute to improving the goals of constitutional amendments.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: The Hindu**

\n

