

## Simultaneous Elections - A Flawed Concoction

### What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

- The demand for simultaneous elections for states assemblies and the parliament has grown louder in recent times.

\n

- But the initiative is inherently flawed and could spell doom for our current stable political framework, which has withstood adversities.

\n

\n\n

### What are the stated arguments in favour of simultaneous elections?

\n\n

\n

- Simultaneous elections will help in removing frequent electoral distractions that nudge policy makers towards populism.

\n

- Cost saving measures is another ground on which simultaneous elections are being advanced as the net logistical expense for elections comes down.

\n

- Political stability is also being forwarded as key reason on why we should embrace simultaneous elections.

\n

- The argument is that such stability will help in reducing the fatigue of electioneering and aid in providing a stable 5 years of governance.

\n

- Implicit in this, is the assumption is that continuous and spread out elections across states are barriers for business environment and economic growth.

\n

- **Flaws** - Track record of synchronised elections (1951-67) paints a very different picture as India's growth had accelerated only in the later decades.

\n

- At the national level too, the late 1990s saw tremendous political churning and instability - but economic growth seemed largely on track nevertheless.

\n

\n\n

## What are the divergent views on the political implications?

\n\n

\n

- **Hawks** - Some argue that simultaneous elections to the national parliament and state assemblies will provide an advantage to the national parties.

\n

- The logic is that pan Indian parties are better off due to something like “Economics of Scale” - where bigger firms manage to produce cheaper goods.

\n

- The non-electoral gains of an electoral victory in Parliament are also infinitely more than at the state level, which would be a serious consideration for voters.

\n

- **Supporters** - Although there is no clear evidence, some proponents of simultaneous elections too agree that national parties will be at an advantage.

\n

- In their worldview, the rise of national parties (as against regional parties) is necessarily for better coordinating developmental policies.

\n

- Thus, they portray that advantage for national parties is inherently good for the country as policies will freely percolate across the nation.

\n

- An unstated assumption that goes along with such thinking is that national parties have better governance capabilities than regionally restricted ones.

\n

\n\n

\n

- **Nuanced Analysis** - Empirical evidences of the performance of various state governments (of both national and regional parties) need to be studied.

\n

- Data since Independence clearly shows that growth and fiscal performance of regional party governments have been better than national parties.

\n

- Many innovative programmes like - food security and employment guarantee were first conceived and implemented by different state governments.

\n

- In no little terms, the diversity in policy has contributed to development - which is not possible without the existence of strong regional parties.

\n

- Hence, simultaneous polls will have major implications for party politics, and federalism, and hence is a key ingredient of constitution's basic structure.

\n

\n\n

## **What are the constitutional implications?**

\n\n

\n

- **The Spread** - Dr. Ambedkar had noted in the constitutional debates that there will be a spread in elections across states over time.

\n

- This, he said was desirable as he perceived that a spread out election cycle was a key mechanism for ensuring accountability - in addition to other checks.

\n

- Hence, our parliamentary setup has been clearly intended to prioritise responsible and accountable governance than stable governance.

\n

- **Federal Polity** - "Separate state and central citizenship" was avoided due to possible fissiparous tendencies of the early years.

\n

- But our constitution is considerably federal and provides for a clear power separation between centre and states, thereby providing autonomy to states.

\n

\n\n

\n

- It is politically risky to tamper this structure, which has ensured peace and managed to hold extremely diverse cultural groups together.

\n

- The proposal for simultaneous polls cuts at the root of this grand design of the Constitution based on dual polity - which hence needs to be given up.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: Business Standard**

\n



**SHANKAR**  
**IAS PARLIAMENT**  
*Information is Empowering*