

Social Progress Index

What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

- From being a symbol of hunger and poverty in 1947, India has now transformed itself into one of the fastest growing major economies.

\n

- These achievements are extensive, considering the challenges of democratic governance & accommodating cultural diversity.

\n

\n\n

\n

- While growth remains strong despite some slackening, the societal reach of development is still largely un-quantified.

\n

\n\n

What are significant indices for tracking development?

\n\n

\n

- There have been efforts to track individual social outcomes such as health, education and safety.

\n

- 'National University of Educational Planning & Administration' and the Union HRD ministry computes an Educational Development Index.

\n

- NITI Aayog has rolled out the health, education and water index.

\n

- Apart from these individual indices, there have also been efforts to look at progress through the lens of a human development index.

\n

- But even these do not isolate the impact of economic growth.

\n

- A common measure to quantify social progress of Indian States is needed - Which is where 'Social Progress Index' fits in.

\n

\n\n

What is 'Social Progress Index'?

\n\n

- \n
- It is a marker that ranks States using social and environmental indicators.
- \n
- Their capability to provide for basic needs such as shelter, water, sanitation, education, health, and communication facilities is evaluated.
- \n
- While the extent of crime & corruption is taken into account, analysing the prevailing prejudices and its impact on personal decision making is also done.
- \n
- The study (2005-2016) helps analyse whether policies are heading in the right direction and enables considerations for adjustments.
- \n

\n\n

What are its findings?

\n\n

- \n
- **Overall social progress** - score for the country now stands at 57.03/100, which is approximately 8 points higher than in 2005.
- \n
- The country performs better in the provision of basic human needs rather than opportunities for its citizens.
- \n
- Therefore, despite small improvements, the creation of a society with equal opportunities for all still remains an elusive dream.
- \n
- **Statewise Progress** - All States have recorded progress. The
- \n
- Notably, states that had the worst performance in 2005 (Tripura, Meghalaya, Uttar Pradesh, Odisha, Rajasthan, Jharkhand and Bihar) improved the largest.
- \n
- Alternately, in states that had achieved a threshold level of social progress, driving improvements becomes more difficult.
- \n
- Hence, states with a relatively low level of social progress can potentially improve rapidly.

\n

- **Economic Resonance** - A major finding is that the greatest improvements have been in areas where social progress most often accompanies economic prosperity.

\n

- But interestingly, areas that had a poor social progress were not strongly correlated with poor economic growth.

\n

- Access to 'Information & Communication Technologies' increased with per capita GDP, but 'Health & Wellness' had the little correlation to GDP.

\n

- This suggests that focusing on economic parametes will result in an unbalanced social development.

\n

\n\n

What is the way forward?

\n\n

\n

- While the economy is on the right track, the urgency to identify and focus on social parameters is lacking.

\n

- The idea that economic development will automatically transform social conditions is flawed and needs to be tweaked.

\n

- Social progress needs to be stimulated by focussing on policies directly targeting social issues.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: The Hindu

\n

