

# **Special Courts For Trying Politicians**

### Why in news?

\n\n

The Supreme Court has recently asked the Centre to frame a central scheme for trying criminal cases involving 'political persons'.

\n\n

#### What are the court's directives?

\n\n

\n

- The Centre should frame a central scheme for setting up of special criminal courts exclusively to deal with criminal cases involving political persons.
- The directive for a common **central scheme** comes with the Centre's argument that setting up such courts would depend on the availability of funds with the States.

\n

- The court also said that the scheme should provide details of the funds required to set up such courts.
- These courts would function on the lines of the fast track courts.  $\ensuremath{\sc vn}$
- The Centre should also submit a report card on the **status of around 1500 criminal cases pending** against MPs and MLAs at the time of the 2014 elections.

∖n

- It is also required to report if the **court's earlier order** to complete the trial in all these cases within a year's time had been complied with or not. n
- Besides, the SC said that it would **directly interact with the State governments** on issues regarding such special courts.
- These include appointment of judicial officers, public prosecutors, court staff and other requirements of manpower and infrastructure.  $\n$

# What is the significance?

\n\n

\n

- Need <u>Criminality and corruption in politics</u> are long pending issues that deteriorate the public interest characteristic of administration. \n
- In the present criminal justice system it takes years, probably decades, to complete the trial against a politician.
- By this time, he or she would have served as a minister or legislator several times over.

∖n

- Judiciary The court has earlier made many rulings that make legislators and public officials accountable for corruption.
- In a landmark verdict in 2013, the court removed the statutory protection for convicted legislators from immediate disqualification.  $\n$
- In 2014, it directed completion of trials involving elected representatives within a year.

\n

• The latest order marks another milestone in the <u>higher judiciary's continuing</u> <u>campaign to cleanse politics of the stain of crime</u>.

\n

#### \n\n

# Is the differential treatment justified?

\n\n

\n

- Special courts could be a time-bound and exclusive judicial mechanism to expedite trials.
  - ∖n
- Special courts exist at present to try various  $\underline{classes}$  of offences including corruption, terrorism, sexual offences against children and drug trafficking.  $\n$
- However, creating special courts for a particular class of people such as politicians violates Right to Equality.  $\n$
- <u>Giving special treatment for offences under the Indian Penal Code solely</u> <u>because the accused is a politician</u> seems discriminatory.

\n\n

\n

- Nevertheless, if enough courts, judges, prosecutors and investigators are available, the expediency of special courts may not be needed at all.  $\n$ 

\n\n

\n\n

### Source: The Hindu

