

Srikrishna Committee - BIT Disputes Resolution

Why in news?

\n\n

The Srikrishna committee's report was recently released, with a focus on recalibration of the Indian BIT regime.

\n\n

What is a BIT?

\n\n

\n

- It is an agreement establishing the terms and conditions for private investment by nationals and companies of one state in another state.

\n

- Government of India has signed BITs with 83 countries.

\n

- They are based on a model BIT formulated by India in 2016.

\n

- The model BIT provides the framework for new negotiations with its trading partners.

\n

- The distinctive feature of model BIT is that they allow for an alternative dispute resolution mechanism called 'Investor-state dispute settlement'.

\n

\n\n

What were the key recommendations of the Srikrishna Committee?

\n\n

\n

- Justice B.N. Srikrishna committee was constituted to prepare a road map to make India a hub of international arbitration.

\n

- It recommended the creation of the post of an 'international law adviser' (ILA) to advise the government on international legal disputes, particularly BIT disputes.

- \n
- Creation of an inter-ministerial committee (IMC), with officials from the Ministries of Finance, External Affairs and Law for better managing BIT disputes was also called for.
- \n
- It also mentioned the possibility of establishing a BIT appellate mechanism and a multilateral investment court.
- \n
- It recommended hiring of external lawyers and appointing counsels having expertise in BITs to boost the government's legal expertise.
- \n
- It called for the creation of designated fund to fight BIT disputes.
- \n

\n\n

What are the shortcomings?

\n\n

- \n
- **Framework** - The call for appointing an 'Law Adviser' will amount to duplicating the existing arrangement.
- \n
- Presently, the Legal and Treaties (L&T) division of the External Affairs Ministry is mandated to offer legal advice to the government on all international law.
- \n
- It would be sensible to have a member from the Commerce Ministry in the proposed IMC as it works for investor protection but it was not recommended.
- \n

\n\n

- \n
- **Narrow window** - The report named the investor-state dispute settlement (ISDS) mechanism as robust.
- \n
- But it provides for only a narrow 90 day window for filing of BIT arbitration.
- \n
- The report is also silent on many other jurisdictional limitations given in Article 13 in the 'Indian model BIT' that also limit the usefulness of ISDS.
- \n
- Critical issues such as appointment of arbitrators, transparency

provisions, enforcement of awards, standard of review were also overlooked.

\n

\n\n

\n

- The commission's mandate was to focus on on all the three parts of BIT arbitration namely -

\n

- BIT arbitration has three aspects namely:\n

\n

1. Jurisdictional (such as definition of investment)

\n

2. Substantive (such as provision on expropriation)

\n

3. Procedural (ISDS mechanism).

\n

\n

\n

- But it focussed only on the procedural aspect.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: The Hindu

\n

