

Status of the Naga Accord

What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

- “Naga Framework Agreement” was signed in 2015 between the Union Government and the “National Socialist Council of Nagalim (Isak-Muivah)”.

\n

- But despite the initial euphoria, little has moved ahead in the deal.

\n

\n\n

How has the framework agreement been received by the masses?

\n\n

\n

- The exact details of the agreement aren’t public yet, but the agreement has received a mixed response from various sections in the Northeast.

\n

- The agreement has raised expectations among the Naga people but also apprehension in neighbouring Assam, Arunachal and Manipur.

\n

- The apprehension are well founded as states have been created after due consideration of a number of factors.

\n

- Any alteration to state boundaries to satisfy Naga concerns might end up affecting the economy and finances of the neighbouring states.

\n

- As the framework remains secretive, a section of articulate Nagas who have asked for its terms to be made public to enable discussion and debate.

\n

\n\n

What is the track record of NSCM-IM?

\n\n

\n

- NSCM-(IM) emerged as the principal Naga rebel group in the 1980s, and

they entered into a peace accord with the union government in 1987.

\n

- They've been running a parallel government across vast swaths of Naga territory in addition to the state government.

\n

- Negotiations for reaching a sustained solution for the Naga problem has been going on since and the agreement reached in 2015 was a significant event.

\n

- But the organisation has come under criticism from some sections of the Nagas on multiple fronts - particularly their violent suppression of detractors.

\n

- It has also tried to sabotage platforms such as the Forum for Naga Reconciliation for alternative discourses on the peace process.

\n

- But to be fair to the NSCN-IM, its leaders have remained steadfast to the goal of wresting an "honourable settlement" for the Naga people from the Union.

\n

\n\n

How has the Indian establishment reacted to the Naga cause?

\n\n

\n

- Jayaprakash Narayan (JP) was the most prominent leader to have taken efforts to understand the Naga cause in the early decades of independence.

\n

- He traversed across Nagaland and his interactions with the Nagas, are said to have showed incredible empathy about their history and origin.

\n

- Notably, JP spoke for the diverse cultural landscape that made up India and demanded a cultural union even before a political union.

\n

- Even today the tribes of this region are not influenced by the typified "Indian culture" but proudly inheritors of a unique tribal affinity.

\n

- But after JP, India has failed to produce a statesman of his stature to take forward the aspirations of culturally significant tribal communities.

\n

- Currently, in Indian establishment, there are deep prejudices against Naga demands, with some being completely dismissive of Naga's unique history.

\n

\n\n

Are Naga demands constitutionally tenable?

\n\n

- \n
- Currently, Naga civil society and political leaders are working to nudge the union government towards a more pragmatic policy towards the Naga cause.
\n
- But multiple governments have repeatedly cited constitutional constraints for meeting the Naga aspirations for autonomy.
\n
- Contrarily, the Nagas vouch that unlike the Maharajas of Manipur, Meghalaya and Tripura, they never signed a formal instrument of accession with India.
\n

\n\n

What is the way ahead?

\n\n

- \n
- Despite the tense and violent past, Nagas have journeyed a long way with the rest of India for almost 70 years now.
\n
- A level of comfort has indeed developed between the Naga people and the larger Indian masses, which needs to be capitalised on to resolve the conflict.
\n
- Hence, it would be desirable for both sides to pause political egos and be open minded to make compromises for future of younger and aspiring Nagas.
\n
- The Indian dispensation too needs to realise that pluralism is a cornerstone of our constitution as long as it doesn't affect the greater good.
\n
- Hence, the possibility of a settlement beyond the present ambit of the constitution should be kept open if needed to resolve the longstanding dispute.
\n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: The Hindu

\n\n

\n\n

\n



SHANKAR
IAS PARLIAMENT
Information is Empowering