

## Strain in the Indo-US ties

### What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

- In 2017, India and U.S. commissioned the “Finance and Defence Ministers 2+2 format for enhancing bilateral engagement.

\n

- But a scheduled meet was called off recently and the format remains a non-starter, partly due to the straining of Indo-U.S. ties.

\n

\n\n

### What are the stress points currently in the Indo-U.S. ties?

\n\n

\n

- U.S. administration recently enhanced sanctions against Russia and Iran in addition to pulling out from the Iranian Nuclear Deal.

\n

- Further, Trump’s toughening stand against countries and entities conducting business with “Iran and Russia” directly affects India.

\n

- India has, in turn, tightened its engagement with Russia, China and Iran, with PM Modi advocating a course of “strategic autonomy”.

\n

- Indo-U.S. tensions have also been playing out amply in the context of bilateral trade, and even verbal exchanges are being exchanged.

\n

- While their strategic relationship was recently upgraded to “major defence partnership”, there hasn’t been much progress here too.

\n

- The 3 critical “foundational agreements”, which are ideal for enhancing defence ties and procurements haven’t moved ahead.

\n

\n\n

### How is the South Asian strategy of U.S. evolving?

\n\n

\n

- Initially, there seemed to be perfect resonance between the Trump administration's outlook and Indian concerns in South Asia.

\n

- Trump had gone public in lashing out at Pakistan for being soft on terror and threatened them with suspension of military aid.

\n

- U.S. also managed to get Pakistan "grey-listed" internationally through the "Financial Action Task Force" for financing terror.

\n

- Trump's Afghan policy placed emphasised on India's centrality in Afghanistan and sought to enhance India's role in regional security.

\n

- But presently, there are sufficient indications that the U.S. is resorting to its older doctrine of Pakistan centric Afghan policy.

\n

- Such change in outlook is likely to constrain India to a mere supplementary role and also deprive India of strategic options.

\n

\n\n

## **What are the perceptible changes in the U.S.-Pak equations?**

\n\n

\n

- Recent months have seen a sharp betterment in Af-Pak relationship alongside an improvement in the U.S.-Pak ties.

\n

- Multiple diplomatic visits by government officials of "U.S., Pakistan and Afghanistan" had been organised in successions.

\n

- Additionally, the politically influential Pakistani military and the Afgani-Taliban have also been kept in the loop throughout.

\n

- Concurrently, there has been a softening of U.S. line on Pakistan's overall terror record, all of which seems to be clearly coordinated.

\n

- The U.S. has asked Pakistan to act against all terror groups on its soil, but it seems focused just on groups targeting Afghanistan.

\n

- More importantly, terror groups and operatives targeting India are being provided a free run and are even fighting elections in Pakistan.

\n

\n\n

## How does the future look?

\n\n

- \n
  - India has decided to go ahead with its Chabahar Port project in Iran despite U.S.'s position, but sanctions will be surely constraining.
  - \n
    - Additionally, India is likely to cave in to U.S. pressures on reducing oil imports from Iran, which might strain Indo-Iran ties.
    - \n
      - While it is a tight rope to thread, India has nonetheless been through such phases in the past and can be expected to manage through.
      - \n
        - But the fact that Indo-U.S. ties aren't robust like in previous occasions is indeed a cause of worry.
        - \n
          - Enhanced engagements with China and Russia are only expected to further strain matters over the next few months.
          - \n
            - Rescheduling the 2+2 at the earliest is hence vital for both New Delhi and Washington, if ties are to be normalised.

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: The Hindu**

\n

