

Suspension of Security Assistance to Pakistan

Why in news?

 $n\n$

U.S. recently said that it would be suspending most of its security assistance to Pakistan until it "takes decisive action" against terror groups.

 $n\n$

What is the significance?

 $n\n$

\n

- The total amount in question could exceed \$1 billion dollars.
- The decision will delay, and perhaps eventually deny, pending payments to Islamabad.

\n

- This includes both payments under the State Department's Foreign Military Financing and under the Coalition Support Fund, which involves reimbursement for Pakistan's logistical support in the Afghanistan war.
- However, civilian assistance programmes are not included.
- \$255 million of foreign military financing (FMF) has already been withheld for a few months.

\n

 $n\n$

Can this be a true leverage?

 $n\$

\n

- \bullet Some believe that it can only be a minimal coercive leverage $\ensuremath{\backslash n}$
- This is because\n

\n

• The US continues to rely on Pakistan for the ground and air supply routes to Afghanistan, and

\n

 $_{\circ}$ Any financial harm that the US inflicts on Pakistan will be cushioned by CPEC.

\n

\n \n

- ullet But it is to be noted that the security aid is not the only leverage the US has.
- US also has is its influential role in international financial institutions like the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and the World Bank.
- \bullet The Pakistani army is prone to seeking bailout packages from the IMF. $\ensuremath{^{\backslash n}}$

 $n\n$

\n

- Widening trade deficit, high public debt and low foreign exchange reserves may also push Pakistani government in this year towards these institutions.
- The US also has tools like visa denial and freezing of assets of senior officers in the Pakistan army and the Inter-Services Intelligence (ISI) involved with terrorist outfits.

\n

- In the past, the fear of sanctions by the inter-governmental Financial Action Task Force (FATF) has forced Pakistan to ban fund-raising by organizations proscribed by the UN.
- \bullet A concerted set of moves under a tighter, overarching sanctions regime might asphyxiate Pakistan's illicit activities. $\mbox{\sc h}$
- As far as CPEC is concerned, it is yet to be seen whether it will kick-start the virtuous cycle of high investment and greater productivity or push Pakistan into a debt trap.

\n

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: Livemint

\n

