

Takeaways from Direct Income Transfer Schemes

What is the issue?

 $n\n$

Union government plans to introduce unconditional direct income transfer (DIT) to farmers based on few state government schemes.

 $n\n$

What are the best performing state DIT schemes?

 $n\n$

\n

 RythuBandhu Scheme (RBS) - RythuBandhu scheme also known as Farmers' Investment Support Scheme (FISS) is a welfare program to support farmer's investment for two crops a year by the Government of Telangana.

\n

- \bullet The government is providing farmers, Rs.4000 per acre per season to support the farm investment, twice a year, forrabi and kharif seasons. \n
- \bullet This is a first direct farmer investment support scheme in India, where the cash is paid directly. $\mbox{\sc h}$
- \bullet There is no cap on the number of acres, and according to the scheme most of the farmers are small and marginal. $\$
- Tenant farmers were excluded from the scheme to prevent legal disputes arising out of tenancy.
- Krushak Assistance for Livelihood and Income Augmentation (KALIA) -It is a progressive and inclusive farmers' welfare program of Odisha government.
- It aims to empower small, marginal farmers and landless and will cover 92% of the cultivators, loaned as well as non-loaned farmers, share croppers (actual cultivators) and landless agriculture laborers.

- As per the scheme, an amount of Rs.10,000 per family at the rate of Rs.5,000 each for Kharif and Rabi seasons shall be provided as financial assistance for taking up cultivation.
- The scheme also has a component for livelihood support for landless households.

\n

- \bullet The landless households will have the option of selecting any of the units. $\ensuremath{\backslash} n$
- The scheme, among others, will particularly benefit scheduled caste and scheduled tribe households.
- The scheme also include life insurance cover of Rs.2 lakh and additional personal accident cover of Rs.2 lakh will be provided to both cultivators and landless agriculture laborers.

 $n\n$

What are the pitfalls in Telangana's RBS?

 $n\n$

۱n

• Exclusion of sharecroppers and the landless was one of the biggest problems with RBS.

۱n

- Payments under RBS are meant only for farmers growing crops.
- \bullet By making payments on a per acre basis, RBS is criticized for being regressive, i.e. as landholding size grew, so did the payment. \n
- As per calculations based on Telangana agri-landholdings and the RBS payout schedule, it was found that about 38% of RBS payouts went to farmers with greater than 2 hectares.

 $n\n$

How KALIA scheme resolves the issues with RBS?

 $n\n$


\n

Many problems associated with RBS are being addressed by KALIA, as it
has three components which cover landowners, sharecroppers, landless
laborers and other vulnerableAgri based households.

\n

- KALIA is progressive as it makes a standard payment to all on just the condition that the individual is identified as a beneficiary.
- Besides, KALIA is only designed to deliver to small and marginal farmers, all others are outside the ambit of the scheme.
- \bullet Other farmers excluded from KALIA include ones paying taxes or having a government job. $\ensuremath{^{\backslash n}}$
- As per NSSO and NAFIS data on farmer incomes, as landholding sizes shrink, an increasing share of incomes come from livestock.
- In this regard KALIA has announced support to its landless for livestock and allied activities with an amount of Rs.12,500/year. $\$

 $n\n$



 $n\n$

What are key takeaways from the two schemes?

 $n\n$

۱n

- Robust list of beneficiaries -A list that excludes the better-off and includes all those vulnerable associated with agriculture is the foundation of a successful DIT.
 - \n
- Centrality of data on land records in this case cannot be overstated—they need to be updated, linked to unique farmer IDs (possibly Aadhaar) and to bank accounts of farmers.

• A 100% financial inclusion is indisputably a necessary condition in this

case.

\n

 \bullet Other databases like ones from the Census and farmer schemes can also be synergized for the purpose. $\mbox{\sc h}$

• **Balancing Funds** - Finding funds to finance this DIT while balancing the fiscal deficit is crucial.

۱n

 A pan-India DIT with a payment of Rs.4,000 per acre twice a year on the country's net sown area of about 140 million hectares is likely to cost about Rs 3 lakh crore.

\n

• Cost sharing between the Centre and states (possibly in a 70:30 ratio) can resolve issue in finance.

\n

• **Alternative plans** - if the government decides to include input subsidies like seed, fertilizer, power in DIT, then the saved resources can be used to finance a perhaps larger DIT.

\n

In fact, a national DIT has scope of becoming the new face of Indian agrisupport policy schemes.

• Such transfers reach more beneficiaries, save on pilferages, is less market distortionary compared to schemes of farm-loan waivers, MSP increases (ones that alienate markets) and inefficient input subsidies and is good economics and, evidently, good politics.

\n\n

 $n\n$

Source: Financial Express

\n

