

Taking forward the Maternity Benefits

What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

- The government made amendments to the Maternity Benefit Act, 1961 earlier this year.

\n

- Recently, the Labour Ministry placed the financial burden of implementing the measures under the act squarely on the employers.

\n

- This has led to concerns over the feasibility of bringing it into implementation.

\n

\n\n

What are the notable amendments to the act?

\n\n

\n

- The amendments seek to improve infant mortality rate (34/1,000 live births) and maternal mortality rate (167/100,000 live births).

\n

- The amendments act increased the maternity leave to 26 weeks from the earlier 12 weeks.

\n

- It also provided for leave up to 12 weeks for a woman who adopts a child below the age of three months, and for commissioning mothers.

\n

- It also facilitates 'work from home' for nursing mothers once the leave period ends.

\n

- These directives will be applicable to all establishments employing 10 or more persons.

\n

- It has made creche facility mandatory in respect of establishments with 50 or more employees.

\n

\n\n

What are the roadblocks in implementation?

\n\n

- \n
- The provisions can help thousands of women and produce much healthier children but the challenge lies in their implementation.
- \n
- The measures introduced, particularly the creche facility, are cost-intensive.
- \n
- Making employers solely liable for the cost of maternity benefits will hamper the desired implementation.
- \n
- The cost burden may in first place deter employers from hiring or retaining pregnant women in work.
- \n

\n\n

What should be done?

\n\n

- \n
- **Funds** - It is high time that the government shoulder the financial responsibility of providing maternity benefits.
- \n
- Maternity benefits should necessarily be provided either through compulsory social insurance or public funds.
- \n
- Government can create a corpus fund to partially sponsor the costs to be incurred by the employer to provide maternity benefits.
- \n
- **Paternity leave** - Providing for paternity leave would avoid the discrimination by the private sector in employing women.
- \n
- If both men and women are entitled to leaves, the presumption will be that a man will also avail of it and it won't be disadvantageous for women.
- \n
- **Breastfeeding** - The World Health Organisation has termed breastfeeding the “best investment in global health”.
- \n
- Notably, the high level of child mortality and growing number of deaths in women from cancers and Type II diabetes are directly attributable to inadequate breastfeeding.

\n

- Government must thus find innovative and cost-effective ways to ensure that working women are not forced to discontinue breastfeeding.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: The Hindu

\n

