

## **The Irrational Opposition to Aadhar**

### **What is the issue?**

\n\n

\n

- Opposition to Aadhar in India has a lot of similarities to the voices that opposed the SSN in the US back in the 1930s.

\n

- These arguments back then as it is now, lack logical prudence and clarity.

\n

\n\n

### **What is the American Case?**

\n\n

\n

- The US enacted the landmark “Social Security Act” in 1935, giving birth to the Social Security Number (SSN), which was highly controversial then.

\n

- Many congressmen vociferously opposed the bill as they feared that people will be reduced to mere numbers and the integrity of institutions would be ruined.

\n

\n\n

\n

- But over the years Social Security and its counterpart, Medicare, have become the only safety nets for a majority of America’s elderly.

\n

- SSN has arguably been overused for purposes that it was not intended for, and there have also been many instances of leakage of information linked to it.

\n

- Nonetheless it continues to be the backbone of citizen interactions with the state as there is no better alternative.

\n

\n\n

## How does it compare with India's Aadhar?

\n\n

\n

- The arguments being made against Aadhar seem to be on the same lines on which SSN was opposed - the right of people to be left alone.

\n

- The fear-mongering has been about a possible totalitarian regime with a giant electronic mesh that would aid a complete surveillance state.

\n

- **Political** - The most important difference is that opposition to SSN was rooted in the opposition to Social Security programs by right-wing capitalists.

\n

- In stark contrast, the movement against Aadhaar is led by a small group of Left-leaning activists, who usually advocate more government in people's lives.

\n

- Understandably, they do not sound credible when they invoke the bogey of Big Brother, who to most poor Indians is the benevolent state that brings succour.

\n

- While they have been the loudest voices against mismanagement of welfare schemes in the past, they now suggest that Aadhar won't make things better.

\n

- **Biometrics** - Another crucial difference between the SSN and Aadhaar is that the latter employs biometrics, which unlike other data can't be changed.

\n

- While this is indeed a privacy challenge, the Supreme Court justices observed recently, that there are already multiple more damaging databases.

\n

- Notably, social media giants, mobile operators, and even our own voter lists carry damaging personal information over which we have no control.

\n

- Besides, it is to be noted that none of the Aadhaar data breaches that have been reported thus far involves fingerprints or iris scans.

\n

- So, the argument that biometrics somehow make a more compelling case against Aadhaar simply does not hold water.

\n

- Contrarily, considering our large population and our history of systemic fraud in every past ID programme, it is indeed the biometrics that brings credibility.

\n

\n\n

## **How does the future look?**

\n\n

\n

- Having realised the potential Aadhar, the present union government seems determined and more internally united in its push for the program.

\n

- UIDAI has also shown considerable seriousness to privacy protection by adding more layers of security such as the virtual Aadhar initiative.

\n

- It has also been an active part in crafting a national data protection law, all of which off-course wouldn't suffice vociferous irrational opponents.

\n

- As the Supreme Court is hearing arguments from both sides currently, it is hoped that it would arrive at a prudent conclusion that balances all concerns.

\n

- Undoing Aadhar would be high retrograde and a blow to better administrative constructivism on a larger scale.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: The Hindu**

\n

