

# The odds against NHPS

## What is the issue?

\n\n

∖n

- The government has launched the 'National Health Protection Scheme' (NHPS), which is envisions a massive coverage of 50 crore people.  $\n$
- But previous experience shows us that merely constituting an insurance scheme will do little to enhance health care.

\n\n

# How have previous insurance schemes fared in India?

\n\n

\n

- Design Any public health insurance scheme would logically enhance medicare affordability for the masses, which will consequently enhance demand.  $\n$
- But as Indian public health infrastructure is still in shambles, the supply would have to be met through expansion of private health infrastructure.  $\n$
- **Previously** Public insurance schemes like Centre's 'Rashtriya Swasthya Bima Yojana' (RSBY), and Andhra Pradesh's Aarogyasri had been in operation.
  - \n Roth
- Both RSBY and Aarogyasri were cashless hospitalisation schemes, but they benfitited few and failed to reach the most vulnerable sections.  $\n$
- Notably, outpatient care, which accounts for the most of the out-of-pocket spending, wasn't covered under the scheme.  $\n$
- **Challenges** While both targeted people living below the poverty line, overreliance on private hospitals and poor monitoring watered down their impact.

\n

• This was because, private infrastructure tends to be concentrated in more

prosperous regions (increased distances make accessibility tough).  $\n$ 

- $\bullet$  Some hospitals were found to have performed unnecessary medical procedures on patients, to derive monetary benefits from the schemes.  $\n$
- Notably, such nefarious designs damages the health parameters and also increases out-of-pocket expenditure (in travel and other accessories).  $\n$
- The lack of sureity of reimbursements has also resulted in some hospitals charging money from the patients despite the insurance cover.  $\n$

\n\n

#### What needs to be done?

\n\n

∖n

• Considering the poor success of the previous schemes, some have even argued that mere demand oriented interventions by the government are futile.

\n

- Unless the public health systems can compete with the private for funds from insurance scheme, quality healthcare will continue to elude the vulnerable.  $\n$
- Also, it is important to develop monitoring systems to ensure that private hospitals registered under the scheme comply with the norms prescribed.  $\n$
- Streamlining reimbursements to hospitals and efforts the expansion of both private and public health facilities at newer geographies are needed.  $\n$
- $\bullet$  Hence, while the NHPS looks massive in terms of coverage, it needs to comprehensively take these factors into account to be successful.  $\n$

\n\n

\n\n

### Source: The Hindu

\n

