

## **The Surrogacy (Regulation) Bill**

### **What is Surrogacy?**

\n\n

When an another woman carries and gives birth to a child for a couple who want to have a baby but are unable to do so, because of infertility or some other problem, it is called surrogacy. This has been in the grey legal area in India.

\n\n

### **What is the need for the bill?**

\n\n

\n

- In 2002, India became the first country to legalise commercial surrogacy.

\n

- By 2012, India had become the 'surrogacy capital' of the world with surrogacy tourism valued at approximately \$500 million annually.

\n

\n\n

\n

- Surrogate mothers practice it as a way of earning livelihood and are often abused.

\n

- Legal issues also emerge

\n

- e.g In 2008, a Japanese couple began the process with a surrogate mother in Gujarat, but before the child was born they split and there were no takers for the child.

\n

- In 2012, an Australian couple commissioned a surrogate mother, and arbitrarily chose one of the twins that was born.

\n

- So the 228th report of the Law Commission of India recommended prohibiting commercial surrogacy.

\n

\n\n

## **What is the aim of the bill?**

\n\n

\n

- It aims to prevent exploitation of women, especially those in rural and tribal areas.

\n

- It prohibits couples who already have biological or adopted children from commissioning babies through surrogacy.

\n

- It ensures parentage of children born out of surrogacy is “legal and transparent.”

\n

\n\n

## **What are the features of the Bill?**

\n\n

\n

- The bill was introduced in Lok Sabha in November 2016.

\n

- There is a ban on commercial surrogacy.

\n

- Commercial surrogacy will result in a jail term of at least 10 years and a fine of up to Rs 10 lakh.

\n

- The commissioning couples should be Indians, should have been married for at least five years and should have ‘proven infertility’ are candidates.

\n

- Only a married blood relative to the commission parents can be a surrogate mother. She must have herself borne a child, and should not be a NRI or a foreigner,

\n

- Under no circumstances money shall be paid to her, except for medical expenses.

\n

- She can be a surrogate only once in her lifetime.

\n

- Overseas Indians, foreigners, unmarried couples, single parents, live-in partners and gay couples are barred from commissioning the services of surrogate mothers.

\n

- In essence, the Bill limits the practice of surrogacy to heterosexual Indian couples who have been married for five years, have no children, and are able

to persuade a relative to become a surrogate altruistically for them.

\n

- The Bill will apply to the whole of India, except Jammu and Kashmir.

\n

\n\n

## **What are the shortcomings?**

\n\n

\n

- Disqualifying on the basis of nationality, marital status, sexual orientation or age, is against the **right to equality**.

\n

- The **right to life** includes the right to reproductive and right to parenthood. So the state should not decide the modes of parenthood

\n

\n\n

\n

- Sudden interruption would just push the \$400 million industry underground. Thus the very purpose of the bill- to protect surrogate mothers from exploitation would be defeated.

\n

- Fertility specialists and attached business would suffer.

\n

- Commissioning mothers, who are carrying a child, would be left in a limbo.

\n

- Restricting only a blood relative to be a surrogate mother is illogical and unreasonable.

\n

\n\n

\n