

## The Uneven Fallouts of Globalisation

### What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

- Globalisation thrives on establishment of trade circuits worldwide.
- As different regions are placed at different levels within circuits, the impact of globalisation isn't uniform throughout the world.
- Our policy makers need to become sensitive to this obvious structure of globalisation, when they plan infrastructure projects.

\n

\n\n

### How has globalisation unfolded over the years?

\n\n

\n

- **Initially** - In the early 90s, when many economies were opening up their markets worldwide, there was a sense of euphoria about globalisation.

\n

- It was seen as a process that would collectively benefit all due to the "economics of scale, access to diverse products and cost effective markets".

\n

- It was believed that the communication lines that are opening up would lead to synchronisation of living conditions across the world.

\n

- **Reality** - The idea of an interconnected harmonious evolution of equally poised global village has withered greatly over time.

\n

- Part of the ploy was lost due to a spike in economic inequalities between countries and between people within a country.

\n

- Technology has indeed opened communication lines, but that hasn't necessarily lead to establishment of significant interconnectedness.

\n

- In reality, globalisation is more about specific circuits that are enabled by technology but are realised for economic and political purposes.

\n

\n\n

## How does globalisation impact different areas differently?

\n\n

\n

- Globalisation as concept inherently can't be even worldwide.
- \n
- **What** - Globalisation works through the establishments of trade circuits worldwide, a trend that has intensified with better communication technology.
- \n
- The impact of globalisation on a city depends on which end of the trade circuit it finds itself in - namely commander or supplier.
- \n
- The city that commands and controls a circuit faces very different conditions from the city that merely supplies resources on command.
- \n
- **Examples** - Garment industry is a typical example, where command structures are located in developed countries, catering to fashion needs there.
- \n
- Garment suppliers are usually based out of emerging market economies that primarily shell out cheap underpaid labour to the west.
- \n
- The Information Technology (IT) industry too is similarly dispersed worldwide to satiate the demands of the western world.
- \n
- **Fallouts** - In such relationships, the former usually thrives with a rich outlook, while the latter merely survives on the margins of the global economy.
- \n
- This is because, the terms of the presently prevalent economic contract is skewed in favour the command centres as against the suppliers.
- \n
- **Churn** - There is always a possibility for firms at the supply end of the circuit to rise to the position of command and control in certain niche areas.
- \n
- But this is rare, and might also demand such firms to move to locations

where other command structures are located for economic reasons.

\n

- In either case, supplier cities largely continue to remain suppliers for the international markets, and those residing in them lead a meagre living.

\n

- **Inertia** - For a city as a whole to become a command centre, there needs to be a comprehensive demographic and politico-economic evolution.

\n

- This is hard to come by in a long time and is almost impossible under the current international economic and political order.

\n

\n\n

### **What is the irrationality that dominates our policy narratives?**

\n\n

\n

- “Indian urban policy” is invested in building infrastructure and replicating the structures of globally acclaimed cities in India.

\n

- In this context, a mad rush to build world class airports, massive expressways and high-speed rail projects has been unleashed.

\n

- The touted reason for such connectivity projects is to reduce travel time between cities, but it is an end that in itself is futile.

\n

- Additionally, navigating through city traffic in major cities seems more nightmarish than travelling between those cities in India.

\n

- Hence, infrastructure is seemingly being built just for the sake of it, as the government strongly believes that infrastructure will usher in development.

\n

- Importantly, in the midst of this craze, the financial and social costs of the projects are being overlooked beyond prudential levels.

\n

\n\n

### **What are the risks of such an irrational approach?**

\n\n

\n

- Cities that are command centres have to be attractive for its inhabitants

and hence have to flaunt an aura of attractiveness and richness.

\n

- Contrastingly, supply centre cities usually have a large population that is poor and hence needs to be affordable to accommodate them.

\n

- Our policy makers fail to make this basic distinction between cities on the differing ends of the global trade circuit.

\n

- Resultantly, they are unleashing projects with little knowledge about its economic potential and little prudence for the costs being incurred.

\n

- The risk of such high-headed policy making is that, it would lead our cities becoming costly, which would hit the poor masses the most.

\n

- Additionally, as a result of becoming costly, our cities might lose the cost-effectiveness edge, and thereby see a reduced global demand for its supplies.

\n

- In this lies the risk of losing business and thereby jobs, which would add to the nation's economic pain.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: Business Line**

\n\n

\n\n

\n\n

\n\n

\n



**SHANKAR**  
**IAS PARLIAMENT**  
*Information is Empowering*