

# The Unwanted Girl

### What is the issue?

\n\n

The 2018 economic survey has come up with a new metric called "Unwanted Girls" that seeks to highlight "Gender Bias" in the country.

\n\n

### Who are the "Missing Women"?

\n\n

\n

- 'Missing women' are the number of girls and women below the age of 25, who would've been alive today if they weren't aborted as foetuses.  $\n$
- Girls who happened to die early due to gender based neglect in terms of nutrition and healthcare are also added up to the "missing women index".  $\n$
- The population census enumerates everyone in a country, and the missing women number is estimated based on the sex ratio.  $\n$
- India's missing women numbers stands at a whooping 63 million.  $\slash n$
- This means that, if no gender bias existed in India, we would have recorded 63 million more women in our census.  $\n$

\n\n

### Who are the "Unwanted Women"?

\n\n

\n

- The 2018 Economic Survey has tried to more accurately quantify gender bias through a new number called "Unwanted Girl Index".  $\n$
- $\bullet$  Unwanted girls account for "live females", who haven't received the deserving healthcare and schooling, due to the gender related partiality.  $\n$

- These are daughters that parents gave birth to when they were really hoping for a son, and were disappointed and dejected hence.  $\n$
- The 2018 economic survey notes that India has 21 million 'unwanted (or) less wanted girls' (who aren't favoured by parents) in the less than 25 age group.  $\n$
- Notably, this index does not capture the number of parents would've preferred not having the baby at all if they knew it was girl.  $\n$

\n\n

# How were the Unwanted (or) Less wanted girls detected?

\n\n

\n

• The common pattern is that couples intend to have two children, which ideally is one son and one daughter - but having at least one son is mandatory.

\n

- This essentially implies that if both their  $1^{st}$  and  $2^{nd}$  child are girls, they'll go for the  $3^{rd}$  kid or maybe even  $4^{th}$  to get a son.  $\n$
- Contrarily, if they have two sons in a row, they might regret having no daughter but yet they might not consider having more children.  $\n$
- Hence, if the matrix of families having more than 2 children looks like "girl, girl, boy" or "girl, girl, girl, boy" (last kid is a boy), it is usually a case of gender biased attitudes.
- Here, every girl born instead of the expected boy is counted as unwanted, which means the  $2^{nd}$  and all other successive girls are unwanted.
- The aggregate data of all families in India when collated clearly captures that the "sex ratio of the last child" (SRLC) is male-skewed.  $\n$
- Hence, SRLC is thus quantifies the desire for having sons, and the number also seems lesser sensitive to economical status of the parents.  $\n$
- An important point to be noted is that this "boy oriented reproduction" does reduce the overall sex ratio as it contributes more girls (although unwanted).  $\n$
- Further pondering reveals that even Kerala and Assam (which look problem-free in the "missing women index") have a male-skewed SRLC.  $\n$

\n\n

### What does it mean to be an unwanted girl?

\n\n

∖n

- If a girl is born instead of the expected boy and she nonetheless gets treated equally, then there is no problem, but unfortunately that's not the usual case.  $\n$ 

\n\n

\n

- Girls get fewer resources in comparison to boys in general and moreover, parents who passionately want sons, unsurprisingly, favour them once born.  $\n$
- Also, the previously discussed reproduction pattern would inherently imply that more girls grow up in larger families.  $\nphi$
- Here, even if parents treat all their children equally, girls are disadvantaged by being in families with fewer resources to spend per child.  $\n$
- Boys have been found to get better immunisation and nutrition, which is also reflected in India's stunting data that displays a visible gender gap.  $\n$
- More noticeably, when a corrective heart surgery is advocated, 70% of the recommended boys undergo surgery whereas the number is only 44% for girls.

\n

\n\n

# What is the way forward?

\n\n

∖n

- The Economic Survey has rung the alarm bells by bring out this crucial data point and it is imperative for us to chart a remedy soon.  $\n$
- While a decline in "unwanted girls" is necessary, it won't be progress if it leads to the increase in "missing girls" (girls aborted in foetuses or who died early).

∖n

- Hence, focus should be on bringing both numbers down – which is not possible unless the preference for the male child is brought down.  $\n$ 

- The way forward is to improve earnings opportunities for women, as this would lower dowry and increase their say in family decision-making.  $\n$
- Better options for people to support themselves in old age, such as a good pension system, would also make having a son less paramount to couples.  $\n$
- Other generic and cultural aspects that reinforcing patriarchy also need to be targeted to achieve a gender neutral society.  $\n$

\n\n

\n\n

#### **Source: Indian Express**

\n

