

Threat to RTI

Why in news?

 $n\n$

\n

- The legislative agenda of the upcoming monsoon session of Parliament hints at amending the Right to Information (RTI) Act 2005.
- Government is considering amending the Act for Introduction, Consideration, and Passing.

\n\n

Why is it a threat?

 $n\n$

۱n

- $\hbox{\bf \cdot Citizen -} \ {\rm RTI \ Act \ has \ transformed \ the \ citizen-government \ relationship \ and } \\ invalidated \ illegitimate \ concentrations \ of \ power.$
- It has legitimised people's demands, and changed the feudal and colonial relationships.

\n

• This progress would be threatened with any dilution of the spirit of the RTI Act.

\n

- Governance Under RTI, a public authority is to provide as much information suomotu to the public at regular intervals.
- The spirit of the RTI law thus lies in not just filing and getting an answer.
- It actually mandates the replacement of the prevailing culture of secrecy with a culture of transparency.

 $n\$

\n

• **Ideals** - Government has shown unwillingness to operationalise Lok Pal, Whistleblowers Act and the Grievance Redress law.

\n

 \bullet This already has a negative impact on the ideals of transparency and accountability, which would worsen with dilution of RTI. $\ensuremath{\backslash n}$

 $n\$

What are the concerns with the proposal?

 $n\n$

\n

• **Consultation** - Earlier attempts to amend the Act have had strong popular resistance.

\n

• The government now seems to be avoiding transparency and consultation in trying to impose the changes.

\n

• As in the "pre legislative consultation policy", any amendment to the law should be discussed before it goes to the cabinet.

\n

• But applications for information about amendments to be made under the RTI Act have been denied.

\n

• **Process** - In recent times, key legislations are passed without being sent to multi-party standing committees.

۱'n

• Some are being passed under the tag of Money Bill, to avoid facing the Opposition.

\n

• Significantly, this is also the case with legislations having a bearing on transparency.

\n

 Clearly, these are practices without due consideration of the parliamentary processes.

\n

 $n\n$

Why is Lokpal significant too?

 $n\n$

۱'n

- \bullet The RTI Act just allows to uncover fraud through a demand for information. $\ensuremath{^{\backslash n}}$
- It is the Lokpal law which helps to use the information to hold a bureaucrat or elected representative accountable.

\n

- Accountability should also have been institutionalised through a strong social accountability and Grievance Redress Act.
- But Public servants, troubled by accountability, are seeing these provisions as interference.

\n

 \bullet Given these existing shortfalls, any amendment to the successfully and extensively used RTI Act needs a legitimate assessment. $\$

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: The Hindu

\n

