

Threat to RTI

Why in news?

\n\n

\n

- The legislative agenda of the upcoming monsoon session of Parliament hints at amending the Right to Information (RTI) Act 2005.

\n

- Government is considering amending the Act for Introduction, Consideration, and Passing.

\n

\n\n

Why is it a threat?

\n\n

\n

- **Citizen** - RTI Act has transformed the citizen-government relationship and invalidated illegitimate concentrations of power.

\n

- It has legitimised people's demands, and changed the feudal and colonial relationships.

\n

- This progress would be threatened with any dilution of the spirit of the RTI Act.

\n

- **Governance** - Under RTI, a public authority is to provide as much information suomotu to the public at regular intervals.

\n

- The spirit of the RTI law thus lies in not just filing and getting an answer.

\n

- It actually mandates the replacement of the prevailing culture of secrecy with a culture of transparency.

\n

\n\n

\n

- **Ideals** - Government has shown unwillingness to operationalise Lok Pal, Whistleblowers Act and the Grievance Redress law.

- \n
- This already has a negative impact on the ideals of transparency and accountability, which would worsen with dilution of RTI.
- \n

\n\n

What are the concerns with the proposal?

\n\n

- \n
- **Consultation** - Earlier attempts to amend the Act have had strong popular resistance.
- \n
- The government now seems to be avoiding transparency and consultation in trying to impose the changes.
- \n
- As in the “pre legislative consultation policy”, any amendment to the law should be discussed before it goes to the cabinet.
- \n
- But applications for information about amendments to be made under the RTI Act have been denied.
- \n
- **Process** - In recent times, key legislations are passed without being sent to multi-party standing committees.
- \n
- Some are being passed under the tag of Money Bill, to avoid facing the Opposition.
- \n
- Significantly, this is also the case with legislations having a bearing on transparency.
- \n
- Clearly, these are practices without due consideration of the parliamentary processes.
- \n

\n\n

Why is Lokpal significant too?

\n\n

- \n
- The RTI Act just allows to uncover fraud through a demand for information.
- \n
- It is the Lokpal law which helps to use the information to hold a bureaucrat or elected representative accountable.

\n

- Accountability should also have been institutionalised through a strong social accountability and Grievance Redress Act.

\n

- But Public servants, troubled by accountability, are seeing these provisions as interference.

\n

- Given these existing shortfalls, any amendment to the successfully and extensively used RTI Act needs a legitimate assessment.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: The Hindu

\n

