

## Triple Talaq Ordinance

### Why in news?

\n\n

The central government recently passed an Ordinance making instant triple talaq a criminal offence.

\n\n

### What are the purposes of a criminal law?

\n\n

\n

- Civil law deals with private wrongs or personal rights wherein compensation is considered sufficient.

\n

- But the criminal law is concerned with situations in which not just an individual is injured, but also the well-being of society.

\n

- Mere compensation is considered inadequate in that scenario and punishment is inflicted.

\n

- The state prosecutes the accused on behalf of society.

\n

- Criminal sanction is the most coercive method available to the state to regulate the behaviour of an individual.

\n

- The purpose of criminal law is to forbid and prevent conduct that threatens substantial harm to individual or public interests.

\n

\n\n

### Why it is not desirable for triple talaq?

\n\n

\n

- A punishment should have only the degree of severity which is sufficient to deter others.

\n

- The Supreme Court has already set aside instant triple talaq in **Shayara Bano case** (2017).  
\n
- Under this, a Muslim man can no longer use it to cause ‘harm’ to his wife.  
\n
- It also no longer threatens the security and well-being of society as a whole.  
\n
- Criminalising triple talaq equates it with other severe cases like rioting, promoting enmity between people etc., that attract 3 years in jail and/or fine, as this case.  
\n
- These crimes are more serious than the act of an individual who, instead of taking 3 months to divorce his wife (which is permissible), pronounced talaq thrice instantly.  
\n
- Thus, imprisonment of 3 years for triple talaq is excessive, arbitrary, irrational and thus violative of **Article 14** of the Constitution.  
\n
- Also the debate on dealing a civil matter under criminal prosecution still remains.  
\n

\n\n

### **What should be done?**

\n\n

- In criminalising any act, the state must demonstrate “compelling state interest”.  
\n
- Triple talaq can no longer break the marital tie, following shayara bano judgement, and hence there is no evil in the act that ordinance endeavours to prevent.  
\n
- Hence, the ordinance itself may be struck down as unconstitutional on the grounds of arbitrary and excessive punishment.  
\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: Indian Express**

\n



**SHANKAR**  
**IAS PARLIAMENT**  
*Information is Empowering*