

## **UN Framework Convention on Climate Change Conference**

### **Why in news?**

\n\n

The conference of the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change recently ended in Bangkok.

\n\n

### **What were the outcomes of the meet?**

\n\n

\n

- The purpose of the meet was to draft a rulebook for the Paris Agreement ahead of a crucial international conference in Poland in December.

\n

- But it ran into difficulties over the issue of raising funds to help poorer nations.

\n

- Some developed countries led by the U.S. are unwilling to commit to sound rules on raising climate finance.

\n

- Earlier, U.S. under the Trump administration, has rejected the Paris agreement in which the rich countries pledged to raise \$100 billion a year by 2020 to help developing countries reduce their greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions.

\n

\n\n

### **Why it is unfair on the part of developed countries?**

\n\n

\n

- Historical the developed countries have contributed heavily to the accumulated CO2 burden.

\n

- It now measures at about 410 parts per million(ppm) of CO2 in the atmosphere, up from 280 ppm before the industrial revolution.

\n

- If scientific estimates are correct, the damage already done to the West Antarctic Ice Sheet is set to raise sea levels.  
\n
- A 2° Celsius rise in global temperature will also destabilise the Greenland Ice Sheet.  
\n
- This will also drive more mass migrations of people on account of failed agriculture and the associated conflicts.  
\n
- Hence the developed countries are ignoring their historical responsibility.  
\n
- Obstructing the transition to a carbon-neutral pathway is also short-sighted, simply because the losses caused by weather events are proving severely detrimental to all economies.  
\n
- Additionally, walking out of developed countries have created a financial and leadership vacuum.  
\n
- This was followed by other developed countries that are unwilling to create sustainable financial commitment to realise the objectives of Paris agreement.  
\n

\n\n

### **What are the responsibilities of India and China in this regard?**

\n\n

- There is international pressure on China and India to cut GHG emissions.  
\n
- Both countries have committed themselves to a cleaner growth path.  
\n
- India reported an annual CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent emissions of 2.136 billion tonnes in 2010 to the UNFCCC two years ago.  
\n
- Recent estimates show that the GHG emissions intensity of its GDP has declined by 12% for the 2005-2010 period.  
\n
- China has suspended construction of 103 new coal-fired power plants last year, and announced plans to invest more than \$360 billion into renewable energy by the end of the decade.  
\n
- Both have the responsibility of climate leadership in the developing world and Innovative instruments (climate bond, social impact bond, catastrophic

risk insurance, etc.) could be leveraged to realise a “Green Economy”.

\n

- It needs to be accompanied by a supportive framework in the form of a rulebook that:

\n

- Binds the developed countries to their funding pledges.

\n

- Provides support for capacity building.

\n

- Transfer of green technologies on liberal terms.

\n

- Thus the responsibility lies in the domain of both developed and developing countries to go beyond expediency and take the actions needed to avert long-term catastrophe.

\n

\n\n

**Source: The Hindu**

\n\n

## **Quick Facts**

\n\n

### **India’s Intended Nationally Determined Contributions (INDC)**

\n\n

\n

- To reduce the emissions intensity of its GDP by 33 to 35 per cent by 2030 from 2005 level.

\n

- To achieve about 40 per cent cumulative electric power installed capacity from non-fossil fuel based energy resources by 2030.

\n

- To create an additional carbon sink of 2.5 to 3 billion tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>equivalent through additional forest and tree cover by 2030.

\n

\n