

Upending Principles of Natural Justice

Why in news?

\n\n

The Election Commission's proposal to have the Representation of People Act (RPA) amended to disqualify legislators charge-sheeted for bribing voters is well-intentioned but bad in principle.

\n\n

What happened?

\n\n

\n

- The EC has drawn its recommendation from a proposal the Law Commission mooted in 2014.

\n

- It attempts to turn the dictum of any justice system on its head, i.e., that a person is innocent until proven guilty.

\n

- The Commission had called for **including a new section in the RPA** to expand the ambit of the disqualification provision to include a person against whom **"a charge has been framed by a competent court** for an offence punishable by at least five years imprisonment" for a period of six years.

\n

- Or "till the date of quashing of charge or acquittal, whichever is earlier".

\n

- The EC has also sought to make **bribery a cognisable offence** under the CrPC, which would bestow on the police the authority to arrest an accused without a warrant.

\n

- These are draconian measures, which violate **the principles of natural justice**.

\n

\n\n

What is the principles of natural justice?

\n\n

- \n
- Natural justice implies fairness, reasonableness, equity and equality.
- \n
- Natural justice is the concept of common law and it is the common-law world counterpart of the American 'procedural due process'.
- \n
- In India, the principles of natural justice are **firmly grounded in Article 14 and 21 of the Constitution.**
- \n
- Principles of natural justice are attracted whenever a person suffers a civil consequence or a prejudice is caused to him in any administrative action.
- \n
- These two are the basic pillars of the Principles of Natural Justice. No system of law can survive without these two basic pillars.\n
 - \n
 - Nemo in propria causa judex, esse debet - 'No one should be made a judge in his own case, or **the rule against bias.**'
 - \n
 - Audi alteram partem - 'Hear the other party, or **the rule of fair hearing,** or the rule that no one should be condemned unheard.'
 - \n

\n

\n

\n\n

What is the problem with the move?

\n\n

- \n
- The call for such a drastic measure evidently stems from the failure to curb corruption in elections.
- \n
- It is said that the RPA provisions have failed to act as a deterrent against electoral malpractices since trials extend for years and rarely result in convictions.
- \n
- The RPA, indeed, has a provision to disqualify and **bar a legislator if convicted for poll graft.** However, the keyword here is **conviction.**
- \n
- It is true that, democracy needs to be cleansed of electoral malpractices, but that must be done by the patient labour of improving processes and reforming institutions.
- \n

\n\n

What is the way forward?

\n\n

\n

- The way out is to **reform the judicial process** and ensure early and time-bound trial and closure in cases.

\n

- Surely, there must be effective deterrence to prevent the subversion of due process, but the onus for ensuring that must to be on institutions.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: The Hindu

\n

