

US re-engagement in Afghanistan

What is the issue?

Though it seems that US troops are set to withdraw from Afghanistan, but US will re-engage in the region due to security concerns.

What has happened in Afghanistan?

 \cdot The US has led a global counter-terrorism (CT) campaign-a multi-theatre war on terror- in response to 9/11 attack.

 \cdot The US-led airstrikes removed the Taliban regime in Afghanistan in 2001 and helped in creating, training, equipping and assisting local security forces.

 \cdot As an outcome, Afghanistan wrote new democratic constitution and invited the UN missions.

How was local troops raised in Afghanistan?

 \cdot The earnest build-up of the Afghan forces started around 2009, when the Taliban posed a serious threat across Afghanistan.

 \cdot In the same year, the US declared a surge of troops and promised withdrawal by 2014.

 \cdot By January 2015, all security responsibilities were handed over to Afghan forces and US troops reduced to 10,000.

 \cdot But the war did not end as Afghan forces were still ill-experienced and ISIS was expanding its footprint across the globe.

 \cdot Hence a NATO-led Train-Advise-Assist Mission commenced for Afghan forces, while the US CT mission also continued in partnership with Afghan Forces.

Why US reduced its forces in the Afghanistan?

 \cdot The US has correctly assessed that AQ in Afghanistan stands degraded and ISIS and AQ networks are scattered across the globe.

 \cdot The threat of the caliphate is over and the threat of genuine ISIS taking root in Afghanistan has been reduced due to the US-Afghan partnership.

 \cdot Over the last seven years, Afghan forces got evolved and they started to conduct most operations on their own.

 \cdot So US signed the Doha agreement in February 2020, for a full withdrawal, hoping that Taliban would agree to be part of an interim government.

 \cdot As new players are in the peace process, including Russia, China, Iran, Pakistan, and Qatar, the US made the smart move to approach the UN to broker peace.

 \cdot However, it appears unlikely that China, Russia, Pakistan, and Iran will send troops to fight the Taliban under a peacekeeping mission.

Will there be a shadow of US presence in the region?

 \cdot Due to the current geopolitical compulsions-US-China competition, China-Pakistan embrace, China-Russia strategic partnership, and China-Iran deal-Afghanistan has become strategically important.

 \cdot Thus, despite US withdrawal, there will be shadow of US-NATO in the region with a focus on preventing Afghanistan from becoming a safe haven.

Will US influence the national government?

 \cdot In the Afghanistan 2.0 engagement, few points are clear — Afghanistan is part of the US-led Coalition against ISIS.

 \cdot The Afghan government will take a sovereign decision to ask for training or operational support and the US has sufficient resources in the Central Command theatre on land, sea, and air.

 \cdot It will provide immediate support on request and has the option of drone strikes in Afghanistan and beyond.

 \cdot Also US will retain its soft power over Afghan institutions and personalities.

What can we infer from this?

 \cdot The UN-led peace process is expected to be slow, it is certain that the

Taliban-ISKP-Pakistan will unleash much more violence.

 \cdot This chaos would create more ungoverned spaces strengthening the terror infrastructure.

 \cdot Hence, the developments in Afghanistan will continue to raise security concerns, far beyond South Asia.

Source: Indian Express

