

## US threats to WTO

### Why in news?

\n\n

U.S is holding up the appointments to WTO's appellate body, its dispute settlement mechanism.

\n\n

### What is the composition of the appellate body?

\n\n

\n

- The appellate body is the court of appeal for issues of law and legal interpretation arising from decisions rendered by the dispute resolution panels.

\n

- It is composed of seven permanent members appointed by WTO members by consensus.

\n

- The initial appointment of an appellate body member is for a four-year term, with possible reappointment for a second term.

\n

- The appellate body members can continue to adjudicate an appeal even after expiry of their tenure, on cases to which they were appointed during their term.

\n

\n\n

### Why is its significance?

\n\n

\n

- The body has been viewed as the central element in enforcing the rights and obligations of WTO members.

\n

- The appellate body had issued over 140 reports since 1995, which have been perceived to be fair and objective.

\n

- It is unique as its judgments are enforced through gentle suasion and the stick of authorised trade retaliation.  
 \n
- This makes it crucial for the continued survival of the rules-based multilateral trading system.  
 \n

\n\n

### **What are the recent intimidations of U.S?**

\n\n

- \n
- In recent months the US has blocked the selection of all new members of body.  
 \n
- US blocked the reappointment for a second term of one of its own nationals to the appellate body for the reason that she refused to comply with the U.S in some disputes.  
 \n
- If the U.S continues with such an approach, by the end of this month only 4 members will be left to serve the appellate body.  
 \n
- By January 2020, the appellate body would be left with only one member.  
 \n

\n\n

### **What are the reasons behind U.S' move?**

\n\n

- \n
- In many instances appellate body has imposed some restrictions on the ability of the U.S to resort aggressive unilateralism at the WTO.  
 \n
- U.S has not been able to reconcile itself with the findings of the appellate body against some of its domestic practices in anti-dumping, countervailing duty and safeguard proceedings.  
 \n
- The practice of the appellate body members continuing to adjudicate an appeal even after expiry of their tenure is also concerning US.  
 \n
- So it that the appellate body of overstepping its boundaries, leading to judicial activism in trade and environment disputes.  
 \n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: Business Line**

\n

