

US threats to WTO

Why in news?

\n\n

U.S is holding up the appointments to WTO's appellate body, its dispute settlement mechanism.

\n\n

What is the composition of the appellate body?

\n\n

\n

- The appellate body is the court of appeal for issues of law and legal interpretation arising from decisions rendered by the dispute resolution panels.

\n

- It is composed of seven permanent members appointed by WTO members by consensus.

\n

- The initial appointment of an appellate body member is for a four-year term, with possible reappointment for a second term.

\n

- The appellate body members can continue to adjudicate an appeal even after expiry of their tenure, on cases to which they were appointed during their term.

\n

\n\n

Why is its significance?

\n\n

\n

- The body has been viewed as the central element in enforcing the rights and obligations of WTO members.

\n

- The appellate body had issued over 140 reports since 1995, which have been perceived to be fair and objective.

\n

- It is unique as its judgments are enforced through gentle suasion and the stick of authorised trade retaliation.
 \n
- This makes it crucial for the continued survival of the rules-based multilateral trading system.
 \n

\n\n

What are the recent intimidations of U.S?

\n\n

- \n
- In recent months the US has blocked the selection of all new members of body.
 \n
- US blocked the reappointment for a second term of one of its own nationals to the appellate body for the reason that she refused to comply with the U.S in some disputes.
 \n
- If the U.S continues with such an approach, by the end of this month only 4 members will be left to serve the appellate body.
 \n
- By January 2020, the appellate body would be left with only one member.
 \n

\n\n

What are the reasons behind U.S' move?

\n\n

- \n
- In many instances appellate body has imposed some restrictions on the ability of the U.S to resort aggressive unilateralism at the WTO.
 \n
- U.S has not been able to reconcile itself with the findings of the appellate body against some of its domestic practices in anti-dumping, countervailing duty and safeguard proceedings.
 \n
- The practice of the appellate body members continuing to adjudicate an appeal even after expiry of their tenure is also concerning US.
 \n
- So it that the appellate body of overstepping its boundaries, leading to judicial activism in trade and environment disputes.
 \n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: Business Line

\n

