

US & UNESCO

Why in news?

 $n\n$

US has recently announced its withdrawal from the U.N. Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organisation (UNESCO).

 $n\n$

What are the recent developments in this regard?

 $n\n$

\n

• **Palestine** - UNESCO, which designates world heritage spots, accorded recognition in 2011 to Palestine as its 195th member.

\n

• UNESCO is the first U.N. agency to do so.

• This triggered controversies over the historical status of the region's religious symbols.

\n

 And this is also a continuing issue of contention between the already divided Palestinian Authority and Israel.

\n

 \bullet The 2012 elevation to a non-member observer status at the UN came as a boost for Palestinians demanding separate statehood.

• **US** - The US had long had stronger ties with Israel and supported its settlements in Palestine.

\n

• Also, U.S. laws bar funding to any UN agency that recognises the Palestinian state.

\n

- Accordingly, the US had stopped funding the UNESCO since its 2011 decision, but the partnership with UNESCO continued.
- \bullet It also opposed to the admission of Palestine to world bodies until the question of its UN membership was resolved. $\ensuremath{\backslash n}$

- **Holy sites** Meanwhile, Arab nations at the UNESCO have sought to fast-track the designation of holy sites as endangered heritage sites.
- They alleged Israel of making attacks on religious sites, affecting their authenticity and integrity.
- **Hebron** Notably, UNESCO declared a contested shrine in Hebron city as an endangered Palestinian heritage site.
- While most of Hebron is under Palestine administration, the core of the shrine is surrounded by Israeli military guards.
- \bullet Also, a resolution last year condemned Israel for hampering access for the Palestinians to Jerusalem's holy places. \n

 $n\$

What does the US move signify?

 $n\n$

\n

- Amidst the above developments, US has now decided to withdraw, accusing UNESCO of continuing its "anti-Israel bias".
- \bullet With this, the actual withdrawal will take effect on December 31, 2018 and until then it will remain a full member of the body. \n
- The decision reflects U.S's concerns with mounting arrears at UNESCO, the need for fundamental reform in the organisation, and continuing anti-Israel bias at UNESCO.

\n

• The decision is also an attempt to reassert its geopolitical influence in West Asia.

\n

 $n\n$

What lies ahead?

 $n\n$

\n

- It is to be noted that US has indicated its desire to remain engaged with UNESCO as a non-member observer state.
 - \n
- This is to contribute its views and expertise on some important issues

undertaken by the organisation.

\n

- \bullet It would be hasty to view US's exit from the UNESCO as a point of no return. $\ensuremath{\backslash} n$
- Because, earlier in 1984, the U.S. had withdrawn from the UNESCO, accusing it of favouring the Soviet Union; nevertheless it rejoined the U.N. body in 2002.

\n

 \bullet With increasing need for communities to stress their historical and cultural identities in globalisation era, UNESCO has a balancing role to play. \n

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: The Hindu

\n

