

Western Ghats notified as Ecologically Sensitive Area

Why in news?

 $n\n$

After several years of discussions, the government has finally notified 56,825 square km area in the Western Ghats (WG) region as ecologically sensitive area (ESA).

 $n\n$

What does it mean?

 $n\n$

\n

- The notified land is spread over six states of Gujarat, Maharashtra, Goa, Karnataka, Kerala and Tamil Nadu.
- Concerned state govts and stakeholders have 60 days' time to raise objections/make suggestions. If no changes have to be made, the notification will become final.
- In the ESA, all kinds of mining activities, thermal power plants and highly polluting industries would no longer be allowed.
- The existing mines shall be phased out within five years from the issue of final notification or on the expiry of the existing mining lease, whichever is earlier.

\n

- All new 'Red' category industries and the expansion of such existing industries shall be banned.
- Other kinds of projects and activities, like operation of hydropower plants, and 'orange' category of industries, will be strictly regulated in the ESA.

۱n

• New expansion projects of building and construction with built-up area of 20,000 square meters and above shall be prohibited too. \n

Why Western Ghats is so important?

 $n\n$

\n

- \bullet Western Ghats is a mountain range that runs parallel to the western coast of the Indian peninsula, located entirely in India. \n
- It is a UNESCO World Heritage Site and is one of the eight "hottest hotspots" of biological diversity in the world.
- A total of 39 properties including national parks, wildlife sanctuaries were designated as world heritage sites twenty in Kerala, ten in Karnataka, five in Tamil Nadu and four in Maharashtra.
- It has over 7,402 species of flowering plants, 1,814 species of non-flowering plants, 139 mammal species, 508 bird species, 179 amphibian species, 6,000 insects species and 290 freshwater fish species.
- Thus, the demarcation of an ESA is an effort to protect the fragile ecosystem from indiscriminate industrialisation, mining and unregulated development.

\n

 Two committees - Gadgil and Kasturirangan - were appointed in the last eight years to identify the areas that needed to be kept out from such activities.

\n

 $n\$

What did Gadgil report say?

 $n\n$

۱'n

• The Western Ghats Ecology Expert Panel (WGEEP), also known as the Gadgil Commission has **designated the entire hill range as an Ecologically Sensitive Area**.

\n

- The panel has classified the 142 taluks in the Western Ghats boundary into Ecologically Sensitive Zones (ESZ) 1, 2 and 3.
- It recommended that no new dams based on large-scale storage be permitted in Ecologically Sensitive Zone 1.

\n

- For Goa, the committee suggested an indefinite moratorium on new environmental clearances for mining in ESZ 1 and 2.
- No new polluting industries, including coal-based power plants, should be allowed in ESZ 1 and 2.
- \bullet The existing red and orange category industries should be asked to switch to zero pollution by 2016. $\ensuremath{\backslash n}$
- Gadgil Committee asked for bottom to top approach i.e., from Gram sabhas to top, rather than a top to bottom approach. $\$
- It suggested the formation of a **Western Ghats Ecology Authority (WGEA),** a statutory authority which enjoys the powers under the Environment (Protection) Act.
- The major criticism faced by Gagdil Committee was that it was more environment-friendly and is not in tune with the ground realities.

 $n\n$

What did Kasturirangan report say?

 $n\n$

۱n

- The report has sought to balance the two concerns of development and environment protection, by watering down the environmental regulation regime proposed by Gadgil.
- It seeks to bring just 37% of the Western Ghats under the ESA zones
 down from the 64% suggested by the Gadgil report.
- \bullet The report distinguishes between cultural and natural landscape. $\ensuremath{\backslash n}$
- \bullet It said that cultural landscapes, which include human settlements, agrifields and plantations, covered 58.44% of the Western Ghats. \n
- It identified 90% of the remaining natural landscape area marked as an ESA. The panel called for a complete ban on mining, quarrying and sand mining in this area. $\$
- It also made several **pro-farmer recommendations**, including the

exclusion of inhabited regions and plantations from the purview of ESAs. $\label{eq:exclusion}$

- \bullet The major criticism of the committee is it used remote sensing and aerial survey methods for zonal demarcation of land in WG. $\mbox{\sc h}$
- The use of this erroneous method had caused inclusion of many villages under ESAs.

 $n\n$

What needs to be done?

 $n\n$

\n

- The question that needs speedy resolution is how much of the Western Ghats can be demarcated as ecologically sensitive?
- \bullet Are other areas free to be exploited for industrial activity with no environmental consequences? $\mbox{\ensuremath{\backslash}} n$
- More complicated is the assessment of ecosystem services delivered by the forests, lakes, rivers to communities.
- All this points to the need for wider and more open consultation with people at all levels, imbuing the process with scientific insights.
- \bullet Thus, there is little purpose in the centre returning with another draft notification to identify ecologically sensitive areas. \n
- \bullet What it needs is a framework under which scientific evidence and public concerns are debated and the baseline for ESAs arrived at. \n

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: The Indian Express

\n

