

## Witness Protection Scheme, 2018

### Why in news?

\n\n

The Supreme Court has approved India's first Witness Protection Scheme.

\n\n

### What is the Witness Protection Scheme, 2018?

\n\n

\n

- The scheme was drawn up by

\n

\n\n

\n

- i. the central government with inputs from 8 states/Union Territories
- ii. legal services authorities of five states
- iii. open sources including civil society, three high courts as well as from police personnel

\n

\n\n

\n

- The scheme was finalised in consultation with the National Legal Services Authority (NALSA).

\n

- **Features** - The important features include identifying categories of threat perceptions and preparation of a 'Threat Analysis Report' by the head of the police.

\n

- Besides, other protective measures include -

\n

\n\n

\n

- i. ensuring that the witness and accused do not come face to face during probe

- \n
- ii. protection of identity  
\n
- iii. change of identity  
\n
- iv. relocation of witness  
\n
- v. witnesses to be apprised of the scheme  
\n
- vi. confidentiality and preservation of records  
\n
- vii. recovery of expenses, etc  
\n

\n\n

- \n
- Other features include in-camera trial, proximate physical protection and anonymising of testimony and references to witnesses in the records.  
\n
- **Threat perception** - The programme identifies “three categories of witnesses as per threat perception” as follows:  
\n
- Category A - cases where threat extends to life of witness or family members during investigation, trial or even thereafter  
\n
- Category B - cases where threat extends to safety, reputation or property of the witness or family members during the investigation or trial  
\n
- Category C - cases where threat is moderate and extends to harassment or intimidation of the witness or family members, reputation or property during the investigation, trial or thereafter  
\n
- **Procedure** - The application for protection will have to be filed before the “Competent Authority” along with supporting documents.  
\n
- The Authority will in turn seek a “Threat Analysis Report” from the ACP/DCP in charge of the police station.  
\n
- The Authority will be required to dispose an application within five days from the date of receipt of Threat Analysis Report.  
\n
- In its report, the police officer must categorise the threat perception and suggest protective measures.  
\n
- The Authority shall interact with the witness and other relevant persons (in

person or through electronic means).

\n

- Proceedings of the Authority will be held in-camera.

\n

- The Witness Protection Order passed by the Competent Authority shall be implemented by the Witness Protection Cell of the state or UT.

\n

- The “overall responsibility” for implementing the order lies with the head of the police of the state and Union Territory.

\n

- If the order is for change of identity or relocation, it shall be implemented by the Home department concerned.

\n

- The Witness Protection Cell will file a monthly follow-up report to the Authority.

\n

- It also empowers the Authority to call for a fresh Threat Analysis Report if it feels the need to revise its order.

\n

\n\n

\n

- **Fund** - The expenses for the programme will be met from a Witness Protection Fund to be established by the states and UTs.

\n

- They should make annual budgetary allocation for the fund which will also be free to accept donations.

\n

- Donations could be from national and international philanthropic organisations and amounts contributed as part of Corporate Social Responsibility.

\n

\n\n

## **What is the Court's order?**

\n\n

\n

- The court noted that one of the main reasons for witnesses turning hostile is the lack of appropriate protection by the State.

\n

- Being unable to testify in courts due to threats or other pressures is a clear violation of Article 21 of the Constitution.

\n

- The Court thus asked the Centre, states and Union Territories to “enforce” the scheme “in letter and spirit”.
- It asked all states and UTs to set up vulnerable witness deposition complexes, as required by the Scheme, by the end of 2019.
- These rooms will be equipped with facilities to prevent the accused and witness coming face to face.
- The court said, “it shall be the ‘law’ under Article 141/142 of the Constitution, until the enactment of suitable Parliamentary and/or State Legislations on the subject”.

\n\n

### **How does it hep?**

\n\n

- Witnesses turning hostile is a major reason for most acquittals.
- In the current system, there is little incentive for witnesses to turn up in court and testify against criminals.
- Besides threats to their lives, they experience hostility and harassment while attending courts.
- The judicial process seldom takes into account the distance they have travelled or the time they have lost in attending court.
- Thus, the need to protect witnesses has been emphasised by Law Commission reports and court judgments for years.
- A robust witness protection scheme will bring in efficiency in the criminal justice system, given the abysmal rate of convictions in the country.

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: Indian Express, The Hindu**

\n



**SHANKAR**  
**IAS PARLIAMENT**  
*Information is Empowering*