

Do you think after Reorganisation act, UT of Ladakh has limited participatory democracy. Comment.

The ~~claiming~~ extension of Schedule 6 to Ladakh was increasing nowadays. Even National Commission of Scheduled Tribes recommended the same. This is due to limited participatory democracy.

Limiting participation

The Jammu and Kashmir reorganisation act though undid the historic injustice to Ladakh it still has some bones to pick.

The Hill development councils of Joh and Kargil has lost its relevance as the UT is now governed by bureaucrats.

The responsibilities of UT administration and Hill councils must be clearly laid out to avoid conflict between them.

Also, Ladakh also lost its candidature to the state assembly as it does not have an assembly. The UT which comprises 97% ST population votes are left unheard without an assembly.

With occupying Article 35-A government jobs are thrown open to residents meaning an outsider could get a job in already resource deficient Ladakh. The domicile clause must be reinstated to the people of Ladakh in order to protect their culture, jobs, etc.

constitution must be suitably amended to enable provision for schedule 6 like features. At least as Reorganisation act has provision to include schedule V & VI, schedule V can be implanted into the strategic union territory.