the economy of the country. Discuss.

India had brought Arbitration & conciliation act, 1996 & its amendment act, 2015 in order to expedite dispute resolution & limiting judicial interference, thus also declogging the courts.

However, even after the amendment there are some inherent issues

- 2018 held that appointment of arbitrator by the central or state government goes against principle of Law if the government is a party to the agreement (Govt. Department & PSUs)
- SC also struck down the claure that made the appellant deposit 10% of the disputed claim before the arbitration.

- · Government has also admitted in Madrax HC in 2010 that it will pharewiseopen its legal sector to foreign law-fairms as without It India cannot become a global centre of arbitration as Singapore is currently today which handles 80% of there care,
- · Issue of third-party funding · Continuance of retrospective tanation as recently India lost a case against Caims energy in PCA, Hague.
- · Refusal to renew Bilateral Invertment Treaties.

Suggestions

- SC guide lines laid down in BCCI vs Kochi crichet care should be incorporated by bringing suitable amendments.
- granted liberty to government & Bar council of India care council, to make specific legislation in regard to foreign lawyers.

- Revisit BIT as well as revolve the issue of retrospective tanation because it only hurs the interest of our economy as foreign investors become skeptical.
- * Strong institutional arbitration framework is needed to revive the ewnomy as enhancing arbitration will enhance our Ease of doing business along with making India a global hub for it. It will crucial for attaining our goal of \$5 Trillion economy by 2025 & Atmanirbhar

Bharat".